Item	Correspondent	Date	Points Raised (Summary)	Officer's Response
5 293 Bowbridge Road, Newark	Applicant/Agent's Counsel	26 May 2021	Primacy of Section 38(6) of PCPA 2004 – determination should be in accordance with development plan. All measures have been undertaken to mitigate proposal. All (relevant) consultees support the proposal raising no objection.	Noted.
20/00580/FULM			Appeal will advised to be made if refused with associated costs application.	
			Appendix 1 for full document.	
5 293 Bowbridge Road, Newark 20/00580/FULM	Malcolm Lawer Head of Strategic Planning & Geology – Central Email submitted	25 May 2021	"This development is totally incompatible with the adjacent industrial uses. I note the concerns raised by Brian Beddows about noise and dust and can predict with some certainty that if this development is permitted there will be complaints made about the adjacent operations, which could impact the viability of those businesses, including that undertaken by Tarmac. Simply because the site is allocated for housing, that does not mean it should be given planning permission if it is not compatible with existing neighbouring uses. We maintain our objection to the proposal and request that it is refused given the adverse impact it is likely to have on adjacent uses."	Noted, the comments do not alter the assessment within the Committee Report.

5 293 Bowbridge Road, Newark 20/00580/FULM	NSDC Legal	28 May 2021	 The Committee are legally obliged to make its decision in accordance with the development plan unless there are material consideration that indicate otherwise. This is clearly set out in section 70(2) and section 38 of the Town and Country Planning Act. The development plan allocates the Site for housing and if members wish to refuse this application, members will need to provide clear and convincing reasons as to there should be a departure from the Development Plan. Of fundamental importance is that there is nothing within the specific development plan policy that requires the industrial uses to cease for the site to come forward for residential development. As the site is designated for housing development any reason for refusal must set out why the steps put forward by the developer are not suitable and sufficient to mitigate the impacts of noise and dust from the adjacent industrial sites on the dwellings. Technical evidence will be required to support this point. By law, any reason for refusal must be clear, precise and full: Article 35(1)(b) of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015. Failure to produce evidence to substantiate each reason for refusal on appeal is a grounds for a costs application. Failure to provide a clear and precise reason for refusal will make it extremely difficult to articulate the council's arguments at appeal. Simply to say that the allocation of the site for housing in the Development
			council's arguments at appeal. Simply to say that the

			5. Should the committee refuse this application it has to be mindful as to who will present evidence at the Inquiry to support any reason for refusal as your officers have made it clear that in the planning balance, mitigation measures make the development acceptable in planning terms.	
7 21/00535/FUL Coghill Court, Southwell	Agent/Applicant	21.05.2021	Minor amendment to the proposed site plan to extend the footpath proposed to the north of Plot 1 to meet the western boundary.	Noted – the change is minor and does not alter the assessment within the committee report. Condition 2 to be amended to change the plan reference to read: 02 The development hereby permitted shall not be carried out except in complete accordance with the following approved plan references: Site Location Plan - Ref. 00001 Rev. P01 Revised Proposed Site Plan - Ref. 00002 Rev. P04 Ground Floor Plan - Ref. 00004 Rev P01 Elevations - Ref. 00005 P01 Reason: So as to define this permission.

7 21/00535/FUL	Rights of Way Officer	24.05.2021	No objection – subject to an informative note to the applicant. "Comments from Rights of Way: Public Footpath (Southwell	Noted, the comments do not alter the assessment within the Committee Report. Informative
Coghill Court, Southwell			Footpath No. 26) passes adjacent to the proposed development site alongside the western boundary. The Public Footpath starts at Westgate heading south to cross Potwell Dyke and then continues south to meet Halloughton Road.	note to be added as requested.
			The footpath should remain open, unobstructed and be kept on its legal alignment at all times. Vehicles should not be parked on the footpath or materials unloaded or stored on the footpath so as to obstruct the path.	
			There should be no disturbance to the surface of the footpath without prior authorisation the Rights of Way team.	
			The safety of the public using the path should be observed at all times. A Temporary Closure of the Footpath may be granted to facilitate public safety during the construction phase subject to certain conditions. Further information and costs may be obtained by contacting the Rights of Way section. The applicant should be made aware that at least 5 weeks' notice is required to process the closure and an alternative route on should be provided if possible."	

8			Item withdrawn from agenda	
Staunton Industrial Estate, Alverton Road, Staunton in the Vale				
21/00295/FULM 9	Resident	25.05.2021	There is a flagrant disregard to any planning rules, with the entrance and hardstanding carried out before the application	Noted. Once the planning permission is issued, any breach of
20/01405/FUL			had been heard and there are now more than 2 caravans on the site along with other vehicles.	the permission or condition would be open to enforcement action.
Main Street, Balderton			the site diong with other vernoles.	be open to emoreement detion.
9	Resident	25.05.2021	The local planning authority granted planning permission for a specified period only because the Council could find and	Noted. Once the planning permission is issued, any breach of
20/01405/FUL			consider a better site, so there is no reason to approve any further changes. Where will this stop, there are already 6	the permission or condition would be open to enforcement action.
Main Street,			caravans on this site and an increase in vehicles leaving the	The Highway Authority are
Balderton			site onto a blind bend and a permanent wall erected.	investigating taking action as the wall erected is on highway land.
9	Resident	25.05.2021	The group of travellers who have put in the application have moved on and made the opening and surrounding land theirs.	Noted. The planning application, as submitted, needs to be assessed
20/01405/FUL			They have at least 5 caravans on the site and put up fencing and a huge brick wall, so they are not going anywhere fast.	on its own merits. In terms of enforcement action, once the
Main Street,			and a huge blick wall, so they are not going anywhere last.	planning permission is issued

Balderton				(subject to this being the case), any breach of the permission or condition would be open to enforcement action. The Highway Authority are investigating taking action as the wall erected is on highway land.
9 20/01405/FUL Main Street, Balderton	Resident	26.05.2021	There are several caravans on the site so fire risk of so many caravans in close proximity is higher and children are living on the site. The Council should extend Tolney Lane where the children would be away from a main road and caravans are positioned further apart. Nothing is done when planning permissions/conditions are ignored.	Noted. Once the planning permission is issued (subject to this being the case), any breach of the permission or condition would be open to enforcement action.
9 20/01405/FUL Main Street, Balderton	Resident	28.05.2021	Concerned that given the digging up of the site and construction of walls etc shows that this is not a temporary site. I don't own a computer or get You Tube, web sites etc so there is no consideration for people such as myself regarding communication and I live near this site.	Noted. The Highway Authority are investigating taking action as the wall erected is on highway land.
9 20/01405/FUL Main Street, Balderton	Anonymous	28.05.2021	Cannot see how you can say no after 3 years. Clearly local neighbourhood view are not taken into account. This was given for one family and two caravans. There are at least 5 caravans on site at the time of writing, despite many comments made about the land being set up for 6 caravans, Something needs to be done. If one family had lived there as agreed I think most neighbours would have accepted it. Rules	Noted. Once the planning permission is issued (subject to this being the case), any breach of the permission or condition would be open to enforcement action.

			are being flouted and no action appears to have been taken. Please take responsibility and sort this matter out.	
9 20/01405/FUL	Applicant	29.05.2021	In response to the current situation and neighbour's concerns, I would like to raise further information.	The contents of the applicant's letter is noted.
Main Street, Balderton			I have been living on the site for the past 12 months and getting settled in with my family and the local community without problems and the children attending school.	The officer's previous report and recommendation remain before Members for consideration.
			I have seen that neighbours are concerned regarding other caravans on the site.	The applicant has been advised to contact the Police concerning the unauthorised occupation of the
			I have not authorised these to be there and I am seeking help to remove the caravans as these have nothing to do with me. I went away for the weekend and the caravans were there when I came back. I have asked them to leave on several occasions. I have contacted the Council for help and have had	Any potential enforcement action would take all circumstances into consideration within the
			no response so the neighbour's concerns are also my concerns.	assessment of expediency.
			At present you are only granting a 3 year permission which is obviously no good to me, my family or the site. By granting me a permanent permission will enable me to plan the site, provide the necessary that you are asking for and to secure	
			the site to prevent further caravans arriving. On a temporary permission I would not be able to secure the funding that is needed to correct everything that you have asked for as no bank would give funding on a 3 year temporary permission.	

			I am wanting the best for myself, my family and my future and the local community and I feel by giving a permanent permission that was granted for 6 apartments on this site would enable me to put security in place for all concerned. Concerning the noise issue, I am a traveller and have lived all my life in caravans. As the permission is only for me and my family, the concerns should be with us and we are happy with it and it does not affect us whatsoever, which is why we applied for permission on this site in the first place. I am anxious for my future and my family and want to make the site safe for me and the concerned community. If I don't get a permanent permission, to make the site safe, if I went away for another weekend how do I or you know there won't be even more caravans arriving further upsetting the neighbours, myself and the local community. I want the opportunity to make good of everything and meet your requests but without funding and the support of the Council, I would be unable to do so.	
9 20/01405/FUL	Resident	01.06.2021	A temporary permission has been given for 3 years for a static caravan and motorised home and two parking spaces to be placed there. There are now several caravans on the site, well in excess of those allowed. The applicant takes no account of	Noted. Once the planning permission is issued (subject to this being the case), any breach of the permission or condition would be
Main Street, Balderton			planning as he created the hardstanding and entrance before any permission was granted. In Bolton a million pound mansion had to be pulled down because it was a third bigger	open to enforcement action.

			than agreed in a planning application. I hope unauthorised works will be enforced as soon as possible on this application.	
9	Resident	29.05.2021	Please consider the number of caravans allowed to be sited.	Noted. The officer report
			Since the residents first arrived there has been 2 or 3 caravans	recommends a condition
20/01405/FUL			for the majority of the time but more recently more and more have arrived and there is now approx 10 which is a lot for a	restricting the number of caravans on the site to be limited to only
Main Street,			small area of land. Also empty gas bottles keep being left out	two at any one time.
Balderton			on the side of the adjacent road which is unsightly.	